

PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS																									
	Chunghwa	Tatung Co. of America	Hitachi	IRICO	LG	LGE Taiwan	LP Displays ⁵	Mitsubishi ⁴	Orion ⁵	Panasonic	Philips	Samsung SDI	Samsung SEC	Samtel	Technologies Displays	Thai CRT	Thomson ⁴	Toshiba	Videocon ⁵							
<u>ABC Warehouse</u>		Voluntarily Dismissed				Voluntarily Dismissed			Did Not Sue				Voluntarily Dismissed		Did Not Sue				Did Not Sue							
<u>Best Buy</u>												Did Not Sue	Did Not Sue													
<u>CompuCom</u>												Voluntarily Dismissed	Did Not Sue													
<u>Costco</u>																										
<u>Dell¹</u>	Did Not Sue	Did Not Sue															Dismissed									
<u>Electrograph</u>	Settled	Settled									Did Not Sue	Did Not Sue		Did Not Sue					Did Not Sue							
<u>BrandsMart</u>																										
<u>Sears</u>																										
<u>MARTA</u>																										
<u>Office Depot</u>																										
<u>P.C. Richard</u>																										
<u>Tweeter</u>																										
<u>Sharp²</u>	Did Not Sue	Did Not Sue		Did Not Sue				Did Not Sue						Did Not Sue	Did Not Sue		Did Not Sue									
<u>Circuit City</u>	Settled	Voluntarily Dismissed				Voluntarily Dismissed			Did Not Sue			Voluntarily Dismissed	Did Not Sue		Did Not Sue					Did Not Sue						
<u>Target</u>																										
<u>Tech Data</u>										Did Not Sue								Did Not Sue								
<u>Good Guys</u>	Voluntarily Dismissed Actions																									
<u>Old Comp</u>	Defendants are parties to the respective DAP cases except where noted otherwise.																									
<u>Polaroid</u>																										
<u>Radioshack</u>																										

¹ Toshiba America, Inc. is not a defendant in the Dell case.² In addition to the defendants indicated in the main text of pp. 2-3 of the DAPs' position statement, the following entities are defendants in the Sharp case: LG.Philips Displays Holding B.V., LG.Philips Displays International B.V., Matsushita Electronic Corporation (Malaysia) SDN BHD, Meridian Solar & Display Co., Ltd., Panasonic Consumer Electronics Co., Philips Consumer Electronics Co., PT.MT Picture Display Indonesia, Samsung SDI (Hong Kong), Ltd., and Toshiba Display Devices (Thailand) Company, Ltd.³ Only Beijing Matsushita Color CRT Co., Ltd. is a defendant in the Costco case.⁴ On June 28, 2013, Interim Special Master Quinn issued a report and recommendation which recommended granting the DAPs' motion for leave to amend their complaint to add the Mitsubishi and Thomson entities as defendants. Mitsubishi and Thomson objected to the report and recommendation, and those objections are presently pending before the Court. Dell, Sharp, and Tech Data were not party to that motion. Sharp has named the Thomson entities as defendants, and Dell has named the Mitsubishi entities as defendants. (Dell named the Thomson entities as defendants, but subsequently dismissed them from its case.) Neither the Mitsubishi entities nor the Thomson entities are party to the Tech Data action, but Tech Data anticipates amending its complaint to add Mitsubishi and Thomson as defendants. Interim Special Master Quinn's report and recommendation also recommended that Costco be granted leave to amend to add the Samsung SDI entities as defendants. No party objected to that recommendation.⁵ The following entities have defaulted in the Sharp action: LG.Philips Displays Holding B.V. and LG.Philips Displays International B.V. (June 19, 2013); Orion Engineering & Service, Inc. (July 30, 2013); and Videocon Industries, Ltd. (May 27, 2013).